

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,125	09/20/2006	Ana Belen Bueno Melendo	X-16772	4566
25885			EXAMINER	
			MCDOWELL, BRIAN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2000	ET ECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@lilly.com

Application No. Applicant(s) BUENO MELENDO ET AL. 10/599,125 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit BRIAN MCDOWELL 1624 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 6-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1.6-9 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information-Disclosure Stateman(s) (PTO/SE/CE)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5] Notice of Informal Patient Application

6] Other:

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,125 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1,6, and 7, drawn to compounds of the general formula I seen in claim 1 and simple compositions thereof, wherein R³ is a piperidin-2-yl moiety of formula a and b or a tetrahydropydrin-2-yl moiety of formula c and d.
- II. Claims 1,6, and 7, drawn to compounds of the general formula I seen in claim 1 and simple compositions thereof, wherein R³ is piperazin-2-yl (e).
- III. Claims 1,6, and 7, drawn to compounds of the general formula I seen in claim 1 and simple compositions thereof, wherein R³ is homopiperidin-2-yl.
- IV. Claims 1,6, and 7, drawn to compounds of the general formula I seen in claim 1 and simple compositions thereof, wherein R³ is a 1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinolin-3-yl.
- V. Claims 1,6, and 7, drawn to compounds of the general formula I seen in claim 1 and simple compositions thereof, wherein R³ is a 2-azabicyclo moiety represented by groups vi-viii.

Art Unit: 1624

- VI. Claims 1,6, and 7, drawn to compounds of the general formula I seen in claim 1 and simple compositions thereof, not embraced by groups I-V.
- VII. Claim 8, drawn to compounds of the general formula IV seen in claim 8 and simple compositions thereof, wherein R³ is a piperidin-2-yl moiety of formula f and g or a tetrahydropydrin-2-yl moiety of formula h and i.
- VIII. Claim 8, drawn to compounds of the general formula IV seen in claim 8 and simple compositions thereof, wherein R³ is piperazin-2-yl (k).
- IX. Claim 8, drawn to compounds of the general formula IV seen in claim 8 and simple compositions thereof, wherein R³ is homopiperidin-2-yl.
- X. Claim 8, drawn to compounds of the general formula IV seen in claim 8 and simple compositions thereof, wherein R³ is a 1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinolin-3-vI.
- XI. Claim 8, drawn to compounds of the general formula IV seen in claim 8 and simple compositions thereof, wherein R³ is a 2-azabicyclo moiety represented by groups xiv-xvi.
- XII. Claim 9, drawn to a method for the inhibition of production of A-β peptide using compounds in groups I-VI (applicant must elect which compound group).
- Note: Election of a single disclosed chemical specie is required for any group elected. Applicant is reminded that a further restriction may be required due to the complex variability of the compound's core.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,125

Art Unit: 1624

The inventions listed as Groups I-XII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The compound claims embrace structurally different heterocyclic cores (e.g., formula I-drawn to acyclic nitrogen and oxygen containing compounds and formula IV- drawn to cyclic nitrogen and oxygen containing compounds). Therefore, these claims can be said to lack unity a priori as there is no technical feature common to all the claims.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are

Application/Control Number: 10/599,125

Art Unit: 1624

added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to

Application/Control Number: 10/599,125

Art Unit: 1624

be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRIAN MCDOWELL whose telephone number is (571)270-5755. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,125 Page 7

Art Unit: 1624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James O. Wilson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624